UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,325	07/10/2003	Fredrik Stenmark	9342-100	2825
54414 7590 03/17/2008 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. BOX 37428 P.A. FIGH, NG 27/27			EXAMINER	
			DAO, MINH D	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,325	STENMARK, FREDRIK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINH D. DAO	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klein et al. (US 2002/0177410).

Regarding claim 1, Klein teaches a method of transferring data from/to an electronic device comprising: transferring data from/to an electronic device when information used to register the electronic device with a wireless communications network is absent from the electronic device (see figs. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 2, Klein teaches determining that the SIM is absent from the electronic device; and determining if a transfer mode is enabled to allow transferring data while the

SIM is absent from the electronic device (see figs. 1-4; col. 6, lines 47-55). In this case,

Page 3

since both devices T1 and T2 are capable of having a SIM inserted into the device,

both devise T1 and T2 inherently are capable of determining that the SIM is absent or

not from the electronic device. In addition, since both T1 and T2 are Bluetooth devices,

both have to be determined being within operating range of each other to be able to

exchange data (this is a well known fact in the art of Bluetooth communication). Thus,

this teaching of Klein reads on the "determining if a transfer mode is enabled to allow

transferring data" of the claim.

Regarding claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of

claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 4, Klein teaches requesting input to the electronic device; receiving

input to the electronic device via an input device associated with the electronic device;

and determining if the received input enables transfer mode. (see sections [0004, 0013]

Regarding claim 5, Klein teaches the step of transferring comprises transferring the data

using a first communications channel that is separate from a second communications

channel used to register the electronic device with the communications network (see fig.

1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 6, Klein teaches the first communications channel is carried over at

Page 4

least one of an infrared communications link, a Bluetooth communications link, a USB

interface, and an IEEE 802.1 I communications link (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 7, Klein teaches the electronic device comprises a mobile cellular

radiotelephone configured to register in a Global System for Mobile telecommunications

compliant communications network (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 8, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 2, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 9, Klein teaches a second SIM that stores information used to register

the second electronic device with the communications network is absent from the

second electronic device while transferring data (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 10, Klein teaches transferring the data while a SIM that stores

information other than that used to register the electronic device with the

communications network is present in the electronic device (see fig. 1; sections [0003-

0017]).

Regarding claim 11, Klein inherently teaches that data stored in non-volatile memory of

the electronic device (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 12, Klein teaches the data comprises at least one of contact

Page 5

information, schedule information, to-do information, e-mail information, web

information, image information, audio information, and video information (see fig. 1;

sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 13, Klein teaches that the data comprises excess data having a size

that exceeds an unused storage capacity of the SIM because there must be cases

where the amount of data that the phone of Klein would transfer or exchange with its

destination is larger than the unused storage of the SIM card.

Regarding claim 14, Klein teaches that the electronic device comprises a unitary mobile

cellular radiotelephone (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 15, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 1, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1. In

addition, the terminals T1 and T2 of Klein read on the processor of claim 15.

Regarding claim 16, Klein teaches that the processor circuit is configured to determine

whether the SIM is present or absent from the electronic device, the electronic device

further comprising: a registration circuit configured to register the electronic device with

the communications network responsive to the processor circuit determining that the

Art Unit: 2618

SIM is present; and a data transfer circuit configured to transfer data from/to the electronic device responsive to the processor circuit determining that the SIM is absent and a transfer mode is enabled to allow transferring data while the SIM is absent (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]; also see reasons for rejections of claims 15 above.).

Regarding claim 17, the claim includes the limitation as that of claims 1, and 3, therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 2 and 3.

Regarding claim 18, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 4, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 19, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 5, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claim 20, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 6, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 21, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 7, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 7.

Regarding claim 22, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 8, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 23, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 9, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Regarding claim 24, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 10, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 10.

Regarding claim 25, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 11, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11.

Regarding claim 26, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 12, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 12.

Regarding claim 27, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 13, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 13.

Regarding claim 28, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 14, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 14.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 29, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 15, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 15.

Regarding claim 30, Klein teaches that the user selectable data comprises at least one

of audio information transferred to headphones coupled to the electronic device and

video or image data transferred to a display of the electronic device that is selected by a

user. The basic telephone data transferring functions of Klein reads on this limitation on

the present invention.

Regarding claim 31, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 29, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 29.

Regarding claim 32, Klein teaches a method of transferring data from/to an electronic

device comprising: transferring data from/to a first electronic device to/from a second

electronic device when a removable Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) that stores

information used to register the first electronic device with a wireless communications

network is absent from the first electronic device, wherein the first and second electronic

devices are associated with a common subscriber to the wireless communications

network (see fig. 1; sections [0003-0017]).

Regarding claim 33, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 8, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 34, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 4, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 35, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 5, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claim 36, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 6, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 37, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 7, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 7.

Regarding claim 38, the claim includes the limitation as that of claim 9, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is (571)272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/617,325 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MINH DAO /MINH D DAO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618